

FILED\*06 MAR 29 11:54USDC-ORE

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

SISKIYOU REGIONAL EDUCATION	)	
PROJECT,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	Cv. No. 03-3013-CO (Lead Case)
v.	)	Consolidated Cases
	)	
	)	ORDER
	)	
UNITED STATES FOREST SERVICE,	)	
et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
	)	
ROBERT AND LISA BARTON, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendant-Intervenors.	)	
_____	)	

Magistrate Judge John P. Cooney filed Findings and Recommendation on November 8, 2005, in the above entitled case recommending that plaintiff's motion for summary judgment be denied, the federal defendants' motion for summary judgment be granted, the Bartons' motion to strike be denied, and the

Bartons' motion for summary judgment be denied and judgment entered dismissing the case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When a party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's findings. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc., 656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), cert. denied, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Plaintiff and the Bartons have filed objections. I have, therefore, given de novo review of Magistrate Judge Cooney's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Cooney's Order dated November 8, 2005, in its entirety. The clerk is directed to enter a judgment dismissing this case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 28<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2005.

Michael P. Hogan  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE